

Meeting: Governor's Web Forum

Date: 4 April 2006

Subject: Implement Web Forum Infrastructure

Responsible Officer: Geoff Wingrove, Director of Strategy Contact Officer: John Kane, Senior Professional ICT Strategy

Portfolio Holder: Bill Stephenson

Key Decision: No Status: Public

Section 1: Summary

Decision Required

To note and comment on the options on the technical infrastructure and support required for the provision of the Governor's web forum. This can be provided by either:

- An LGFL Solution
- An in-house HITS solution

A decision needs to be obtained as to which of the two options is preferable.

Reason for report

To provide Governors of Harrow Schools with a secure forum where they can pose questions and receive answers from their peers.

Benefits

A benefit of the system will be the sharing of ideas and best practise and provision of a format for consultation and engagement.

Cost of Proposals

A monthly charge of £500 will be levied to account for administration and support should the LGFL solution be chosen.

The HITS solution may require security tokens to be purchased for each user at a cost of £250 per annum.

Additional costs will be incurred if there is a defined need for content to be reviewed/moderated.

Risks

- Internet fails (LGFL/HITS) so users cannot communicate on issues
- Users don't use the system as a collective
- Major customisation is required to the existing environments (not costed)

Implications if recommendations rejected

No implications, as alternative methods exist for communicating on shared topics; e-mail, meetings, paper documents

Section 2: Report

Brief History

There is a requirement to provide all Governors of Harrow Schools with a secure forum where they can pose questions and receive answers from their peers.

A key feature of the identified solution is that it must be capable of being implemented quickly and at minimal cost.

Options considered

This paper looks at the two options that have been evaluated:

- 1. Extending the capability that already exists within LGFL
- 2. Using the forum/chat room facility that has been developed by HITS and the Communications and PR unit

The following information was used to determine the most appropriate solution:

| 1. How will the forum be | administered and by whom? |
|---|--|
| LGFL | HITS |
| LGFL Consultants will manage administration activity. | HITS staff will administer the system and will just require adequate notice when forums need to be |
| Existing processes will be used to support any requests and minimal | enabled/disabled |
| additional work is required. | The individual forums need to be enabled for particular time periods and |
| New users can be added by LGFL Consultants (or trained staff) within 24 hours. | subject categories defined by the sponsor of the forum. |
| If required, other personnel can be shown how to maintain the environment. An average of two hours "hands on" training will be required in order to put control elsewhere. | Moderation of the forums will be provided by the Communications Team (to understand potential legal/libel issues that the Council might face). |
| If problems are identified then the LGFL Consultants can provide telephone or remote support. Costs to provide this cover will be minimal and are estimated at 0.5 – 1.0 day per month (which will/ not be charged for) | |

| 2. How would ongo | ing costs be managed? |
|--|--|
| LGFL | HITS |
| The existing infrastructure will support what is currently required. If additional features/software is required or if there is a large workload placed on the LGFL Consultants then a cross charging mechanism may be required. Neetha Atukorale (Governor's Support may also be trained to provide support. | No additional hardware/software costs are envisaged but if additional Communications Team resources are required then they will need to be funded. |

| 3. How will "private" and "public" areas be managed? | |
|--|--|
| LGFL | HITS |
| LGFL Consultants currently manage the separation between public and | Discussion forums have a login feature and HITS indicate they may be able to |
| private areas. | restrict particular forums to specific groups of people (the forum they ran |
| Training can be given to identified personnel if this area needs a specific focus. | allowed anonymous participation, so HITS would need to test out a restriction facility). |

| 4. How will "public" areas be | e available to the general public? |
|--|--|
| LGFL | HITS |
| Public areas which have been authorised and setup by the LGFL Consultants can be linked from the Harrow website (this will require a minimal change). | Public areas will be available through the website, perhaps through a 'Have your Say' link |
| Alternatively, a separate environment can be provided, working with the LGFL Consultants but this would be at additional costs and has not been quoted for in this study | |

| 5. How will links to partne | r organisations be addressed? |
|--|---|
| LGFL | HITS |
| Links to other partners can be easily setup and is covered in the training that can be provided. | They can be set up in the same way as other restricted forum users i.e. with a login and password. |
| No additional costs will be incurred. | HITS could consider setting up restricted forums on the Intranet and providing securid tokens to governors/partners but there would be a cost associated with token admin (£250 pa.) - but this is dependent on the sensitivity of governors forums and the need for this extra security. |

| ave separate pieces of software cussion forums and web chats are currently available. |
|---|
| cussion forums and web chats are currently available. dant on the rate of activity, no |
| nal resources will be required to ster/support the environment. |
| |

Consultation

None. This has been a desktop evaluation only.

Financial Implications

There are no financial implications.

Legal Implications

Legal Dept's view is that there is no legal requirement to set up such a forum

Equalities Impact

Not applicable

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Act 1998 Considerations

Not applicable

Section 3: Supporting Information/ Background Documents

Appendices:

None

For all reports

No other background papers are available.